

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/686,053	10/14/2003	Michael E. Jolley	02-1106-A	7002
7590 05/18/2007 Richard A. Machonkin			EXAMINER	
McDonnell Boehnen Hulbert & Berghoff			FORD, VANESSA L	
32nd Floor 300 S. Wacker Drive		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Chicago, IL 60606			1645	
				•
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/18/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/686,053	JOLLEY ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Vanessa L. Ford	1645		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period value of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	l. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>01 M</u> This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This     Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro			
Disposition of Claims				
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-8 and 14-20 is/are pending in the ap 4a) Of the above claim(s) 14-18 and 20 is/are versions. Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-8 and 19 is/are rejected.  7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vithdrawn from consideration.			
Application Papers		,		
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 14 October 2003 is/are:  Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>				
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	nte		

Application/Control Number: 10/686,053 Page 2

Art Unit: 1645

### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. This Action is responsive to Applicant's Amendment and Response filed March 1, 2007. Claims 9-13 have been canceled. Claims 14-18 and 20 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention. Claims 1-8 and 19 are under examination.

## Objection/Rejections Withdrawn

- 2. In view of Applicant's amendment and response the following objection/rejections are withdrawn:
  - objection to the specification, page 2, paragraph 2 of the previous

    Office action.
  - (b) Double patenting rejection, pages 4-5, paragraph3 of the previous .

    Office action.
  - (c) Rejection of claims 1-8 and 19 under 35 U.S.C. 112 second paragraph, page 5, paragraph 4.
  - (d) Rejection of claims 1, 3-8 and 19 under 35 U.S.C. 102(a), pages 6-7, paragraph 5.
  - (e) Rejection of claims 1-4, 6-8 and 19 under 35 U.S.C.102(b), pages 7-8, paragraph 6.
  - (f) Rejection of claims 1-8 and 19 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), pages 8-10, paragraph 7.

Application/Control Number: 10/686,053 Page 3

Art Unit: 1645

## New Grounds of Rejection

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-4, 6-8 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as unpatentable over Bolz (U.S. Patent No.4,067,959 published January 10,1978) in view of Nasir et al (Proceedings One Hundred and Fourth Annual Meeting of the United States Animal Health Association, October 20-27, 2000, Birmingham, AL).

Claims 1-4, 6-8 and 19 are drawn to a method for detecting *Salmonella* antigens in a sample, said method comprising the steps of combining said sample with a tracer an anti-*Salmonella* antibody to form an assay mixture said tracer comprising a fluorophore conjugated to an oligosaccharide from a *Salmonella* cell wall lipopolysaccharide said tracer being able to bind to said anti-*Salmonella* antibody to produce a detectable change in fluorescence polarization and measuring the fluorescence polarization of said assay mixture to obtain a measured fluorescence polarization value wherein said measured fluorescence polarization value is related to the concentration of *Salmonella* antigens in said sample.

Application/Control Number: 10/686,053

Art Unit: 1645

Bolz teaches a method of detecting antigens in a sample comprising contacting the antibodies specific to the antigen with the sample containing the antigen (see the Abstract and columns 5-6). Bolz et al teach that this method is performed in assay format (see the Abstract). Bolz teaches that the method of the invention includes using fluorogen labels for detection (see the Abstract). Bolz teaches that the antigen or antibody can be placed on as solid support surface depending upon which is the target molecule in the sample (See the Abstract).

Bolz do not teach using a tracer comprising a fluorophore conjugated to an oligosaccharide from *Salmonella* cell wall lipopolysaccharides or the use of fluorescence polarization.

Nasir et al teach a detecting using fluorescence polarization to detect *Salmonella* enteritidis infections in chickens by using the O -polysaccharide of S. enteritidis and an ELISA with an S. enteritidis flagellin antigen (see the Abstract). Nasir et al teach that the fluorescein used in the method is isothiocynate isomer (Materials and Methods, Section 2). Nasir et al teach that serum samples (cultured samples) as well as egg yolk (food product) were tested for *Salmonella* (Section 2.5). Nasir et al teach that a blank serum reading was taken which included a diluted tracer added and mixed and the fluorescence polarization value taken (see the Abstract). Nasir et al teach that a positive sample was indicated by a reading of 10mP higher than that of the tracer buffer (see the Abstract). Therefore, Nasir et al teach the claim limitations "the method of claim 1, wherein combining said sample with a tracer and an anti-*Salmonella* antibody to form an assay mixture comprises combining said sample with anti-*Salmonella* 

Art Unit: 1645

antibody to provide a bank mixture and combining said blank with said tracer to provide said assay mixture", "measuring the fluorescence polarization of said blank mixture to provide a blank fluorescence polarization value" and subtracting said blank polarization value from said measured fluorescence polarization value to provide a blank-corrected fluorescence polarization value, wherein said measured fluorescence polarization value is related to the concentration of *Salmonella* antigens in said sample" because these claim limitations are necessarily taught by using fluorescence polarization.

It would have been *prima facie* obvious at the time the invention was made to modify the method of detecting as taught by Bolz to include a tracer prepared from the O-polysaccharide of *S. enteritidis* and an immunoassay (ELISA) format with an *S. enteritidis* flagellin antigen according to the teachings of Nasir et al because Nasir et al teach that fluorescence polarization is highly specific, sensitive and an accurate method in which to detect *Salmonella* in biological samples. It would be expected absent evidence to the contrary that a method of detecting antigen can be performed by combining antibodies specific for the target antigen (e.g. *Salmonella*) and a tracer because Nasir et al has demonstrated the immune complex formed by the contact of the antibodies and the antigen are detectable by fluorescence polarization, thereby accurately detecting poultry samples that are infected with *Salmonella*.

4. Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as unpatentable over Bolz and Nasir et al as applied to claims 1-4, 6-8 and 19 above and further in view of Gast et al(Avian Diseases, 46:137-142, Jan-March, 2002).

Application/Control Number: 10/686,053 Page 6

Art Unit: 1645

Claim 5 is drawn to the method of claim 1 wherein said sample is from animal feces.

The teachings of Bolz and Nasir et al have been described previously.

Bolz and Nasir et al do not teach the claim limitation wherein said sample is from animal feces.

Gast et al teach that fluorescence polarization is an effective and accurate method to detect *Salmonella* in animal (hen) feces. Gast et al teach that detecting *Salmonella* in feces samples is a fast and effective method to determine *Salmonella* infection in poultry.

It would have been *prima facie* obvious at the time the invention was made to modify the method of detecting as taught by Bolz and Nasir et al as combined above because Gast et al teach fluorescence polarization to fast and effective method to detect *Salmonella* in animal (hen) feces thereby accurately identifying *Salmonella* infections in poultry. It would be expected absent evidence to the contrary that a method of detecting antigen in feces can be performed by combining antibodies specific for the target antigen and a tracer from the O-polysaccharide of *S. enteritidis* because Nasir et al and Gast et al has demonstrated that fluorescence polarization is a fast, sensitive and accurate method in which to detect *Salmonella* in biological samples. Additionally, Bolz teaches that antibodies specific to a target antigen can be used to detect said antigen in biological samples.

## Status of Claims

5. No claims allowed.

Art Unit: 1645

#### Conclusion

6. Any inquiry of the general nature or relating to the status of this general application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308–0196.

Papers relating to this application may be submitted to Technology Center 1600, Group 1640 by facsimile transmission. The faxing of such papers must conform with the notice published in the Office Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (November 15, 1989). Should applicant wish to FAX a response, the current FAX number for the Group 1600 is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry concerning this communication from the examiner should be directed to Vanessa L. Ford, whose telephone number is (571) 272-0857. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday – Friday from 9:00 AM to 6:00 PM. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jeffery Siew, can be reached at (571) 272-0787.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <a href="http://pair-direct.uspto.gov./">http://pair-direct.uspto.gov./</a>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronjc Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Vanessa L. Ford

Biotechnology Patent Examiner

May 5, 2007

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER